



Making Headway: Transit Media Metrics (and the Blueprint for Other DOOH)

Seminar S27: February 28, 2013



www.digitalsignageexpo.net



Kelly McGillivray, P.Eng.

President and Chief Methodologist www.peoplecount.biz

Digital/static OOH audience measurement and research specialists since 1995



FEBRUARY

2013

www.digitalsignageexpo.net

I'm going to talk about...

- 3 myths we need to give up
- From guidelines to **audits**
- DOOH **CAN** do it!

Context: U.S. Transit media



3 MYTHS WE NEED TO GIVE UP





MYTH #1

Diversity:

- Too many different types of Digital OOH
- We can't establish common standards or methods



MYTH #2

Baby Steps:

- Gradually "refine" current data
- "Crude" metrics are OK we need to start somewhere
- It's better than nothing



MYTH #3

Fancy Measurement Tools:

- Technology is a stand-alone solution for audience measurement
- Technical tools are "better" than old-fashioned methods



FROM GUIDELINES to AUDITS

Measurement Hierarchy

Guidelines

Policy

Standards

Audits



What's the Difference?

	GUIDELINES	POLICY	STANDARDS	AUDITS
WHO?	Industry Body (with input)	Execs (Buyer/ Seller)	Research Suppliers (often)	Unbiased Bureau/ Association
COMPLIANCE	Optional	Mandatory	Enforceable	Enforced
DETAIL	General	Specific	Measurable	Verified

This is currently missing



Question for you:

What is the most important quality of an **AUDIT**?



unts

TRANSPARENCY!



Glass box NOT Black box



DOOH CAN DO IT!



Where Do We Start?

Establish STRUCTURE Identify RESOURCES

Define **PROCESS**



TRANSIT MEDIA METRICS

<u>88</u>

Study

STRUCTURE (who leads the charge?)





RESOURCES (what can we use?)





PROCESS (2 important points)

- 1. Avoid **PITFALL**: get **BUY-IN** (stakeholder consultation):
 - Multiple committees
 - Transit media buyer/seller surveys
 - In-depth interviews buyers/sellers



Key Findings

1. Sellers' misconceptions

Buyer%

Why Buyers Choose Transit Media 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% Mass Reach Only OOH Option Geo Targetting Low Cost

Seller%



Key Findings

2. More sellers' misconceptions



Why Buyers Don't Choose Transit



PROCESS (2nd important point)

- 2. GIGO: **know** your data sources or collect your own:
 - 2800 intercept surveys (3 markets)
 - 450 bus-route miles counts by side
 - Eye-tracking pilot study



Data Issues

- Ridership data inconsistent
- Road/traffic data spotty
- Eye tracking very little
- Solution collect our OWN data





- 2800 intercept surveys (3 markets)
- 450 bus-route miles

 passing-vehicle
 counts by side of
 bus
- Eye-tracking study

Fieldwork





Subjects wearing eyewear with cameras and retina tracking followed a fixed bus-subway route.

Live eye camera tests



Vehicle-mounted mobile camera

Example:

Atlanta Route 5



Piedmont Rd NE/Morosgo Dr NE to Roswell Rd NE/Glenridge Dr NE

5.8 miles **14** runs 13.2 mph average Vehicle counts: Орр 10,905 (78%) Same L 2,816 (20%) Same R 237 (2%)



FIELDWORK: Rider-Targeted

- 2800 intercept surveys across 3 cities;
- Questions included:
 - Basic demographics
 - Trip purpose
 - Origin-destination + trip details
 - > Trip and transit use frequency
 - > Media notice



Interior Transit Media (rider-targeted):

- Bus Interiors avg by bus garage
- Rail Interiors avg by rail line group
- Rail Stations avg by station (concourse and platform separately)
- Rail Exteriors avg by rail line group



OTS – Transit Interiors

- Based on ridership data at the following levels of detail ("granularity"):
 - Bus interiors by bus garage
 - Rail interiors by line or group of lines
 - Rail exteriors by line or group of lines
 - Stations by station
- Visibility indexes applied to ridership



OTS – Bus Exteriors (cont'd)

- **Data inputs** to measure bus exteriors:
 - Traffic counts
 - Road data (class, speed, one way)
 - Travel times/speeds (ideally GPS)
 - Census data (pop. density, mode)
 - Fleet data
- Result is bus exterior OTS from pedestrians and vehicle occupants



Matching Bus GPS Data with Traffic Counts



OTS Components by Bus Side



MPLEMENTATION

80

DV.

mort

0

20 Cliffside

35 Gerrard

Į٨.

Implementation

- Traffic Intensity Model to be applied to each DMA
- OTS calcs must be rolled out for each transit system with a rail component
- Bus-only systems can be processed via software and traffic count layer
- Ready to implement Top 50 DMAs in 2012



Interior Transit Media (rider-targeted):

- Bus Interiors avg by bus garage
- Rail Interiors avg by rail line group
- Rail Stations avg by station (concourse and platform separately)
- Rail Exteriors avg by rail line group





"Questions?"

Kelly McGillivray kelly@peoplecount.biz

